



MEETING AGENDA
Monday, July 16, 2012

Southwest Riverside County Association of Realtors®
26529 Jefferson Ave, Murrieta CA 92562

Presiding: Dennis Frank, Chair

2012 Strategic Initiatives

Budget & Tax Reform / Job Creation and Retention / Environmental Reform

Call to Order, Roll Call & Introductions:

Chair Report

Agenda Items

- 1. Approval of June 2012 Meeting Minutes Action
2. Assemblymember Brian Nestande Information
3. Legislative Report #7 Action
1. AB 1551 (Torres) Insurance: public safety employees: accidents.
2. SB 969 (Vargas) Pet groomers
3. SB 162 (Anderson) California Gambling Control Commission: Gaming Policy
Economic development: federally recognized Indian tribes
4. SB 1015 / AB 1475 (Committee on Budget & Fiscal Review) Taxation: Administration
4. November Ballot Propositions Action/Information
1. Proposition 32 - Prohibits Political Contributions by Payroll Deduction. Prohibitions on Contributions to Candidates.
2. Proposition 39 - Tax Treatment for Multistate Businesses. Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency Funding.
5. SWCLC Bill Tracking: Information
6. Regional Legislator, Staff and Stakeholder Updates Information
Federal: Senators Feinstein & Boxer. Representatives Issa, Bono-Mack, Calvert & Hunter
State: Governor Brown, Senators Emmerson & Anderson, Assemblymembers Jeffries & Nestande
Local: County, Cities, Utilities, EDC, Healthcare, League of Cities
7. Chamber & Council Member Announcements Information
8. Adjourn - Next meeting August 20, 2012

The Southwest California Legislative Council Thanks Our Partners:

- Southwest Riverside Country Association of Realtors
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
- Near-Cal Corporation
- Economic Development Corp of Southwest California
- Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
- The Gas Company
- Abbott Vascular
- The Murrieta Temecula Group
- Temecula Valley Chamber of Commerce
- Murrieta Chamber of Commerce
- Lake Elsinore Valley Chamber of Commerce
- Wildomar Chamber of Commerce
- Southern California Edison
- Loma Linda University Medical Center
- Ace Hardware of Wildomar
- Southwest Healthcare Systems

Please consider adding your business to the list. The SWCLC is fully funded by sponsorships from various private organizations and businesses. The SWCLC exists solely because of the contributions of these proactive organizations and businesses located throughout the region. Without their support the actions of the SWCLC would not be possible.



Southwest California Legislative Council

**Murrieta Chamber of Commerce
Temecula Valley Chamber of Commerce
Lake Elsinore Chamber of Commerce
Wildomar Chamber of Commerce
Meeting Minutes
June 18, 2012**

Legislative Consultant: Gene Wunderlich

2012 Chair: Dennis Frank (Present)

Directors Attendance: Nicole Albrecht, Financial Accounting Services
Steve Amante, Amante & Associates
Alex Braicovich, CR & R, Inc.
Glen Daigle, Oakgrove Equities
Jeff George, Superior Quality Construction
Michael Klein, Nigro & Nigro, PC
Isaac Lizarraga, Rancho Ford Lincoln
Tony Lopicolo, First Citizens Bank
Karie Reuther, Granite Construction
Gary Thornhill
Roger Ziemer, R.C. Ziemer & Associates

Directors Absent: Don Murray, Joan Sparkman, Tommy Thompson

Council Guests: Andrew Abeles, Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage
John Brown, Constant
LouEllen Ficke, Commerce Bank of Temecula Valley
Jason Gagnon, Representative Ken Calvert's Office
Evita Gonzales, Senator Bill Emmerson's Office
Jeff Greene, Assemblymember Kevin Jeffries Office
Dianne Hoffman, McKenna & Co.
Suzanne Lingold, CSU San Marcos
Connie Lynch, Southwest Riverside County Assoc. of Realtors
Laurie McLaughlin, Mt. San Jacinto College
Jami McNees, Meridian Payroll Group
Laurel Miller, Glass Doctor
Morris Myers, EDC of Southwest CA

Staff Present: Alice Sullivan, Laura Turnbow – Temecula Valley Chamber of Commerce
Patrick Ellis– Murrieta Chamber of Commerce

Meeting called to order at: 12:07 by Chairman Dennis Frank

Chair's Report

Frank stated 35 to 40 letters were drafted and sent related to issues voted on at the April meeting. Wunderlich reported the SWCLC website (www.southwestca.biz) is now up-to-date with 2011 vote record, strategic initiatives as well as letters drafted and sent. Members can now access and forward letters to legislators.

1. Approval of Minutes

Directors reviewed the Minutes from the April 16, 2012 meeting. **The motion was made to approve the minutes as written. The motion was seconded and carried by a unanimous vote.**

2. Legislative Report #4

AB 1745 (Torres) Dual Tracking

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich

Recommended action: SUPPORT

Background:

Among the many foreclosure-related problems highlighted in the recent National Mortgage Settlement is the phenomenon of "dual tracking" – that is, the practice of lenders simultaneously pursuing foreclosure proceedings against homeowners who have requested modification of the loan. Currently a bank's ability to simultaneously process a short sale and a foreclosure on a single property has resulted in the foreclosure sale of a home which has been approved for a short sale. AB 1745 would prohibit a lender from proceeding to a foreclosure sale against a property IF that property has been approved for a short sale. This measure will still allow the lender to withdraw short sale approval due to a change in conditions under which the approval was granted. The lien holder would be required to provide written notice of at least 3 days prior to withdrawing the approval with an explanation for the reason for the retraction.

The motion was made to SUPPORT AB 1745. The motion was seconded and carried by a unanimous vote.

AB 1831 (Dickinson) Local government: hiring practices.

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich

Recommended action: Oppose

Background:

Existing law requires the hiring practices and promotional practices of a city or county, as defined, to conform to the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964 and prohibits any city or county from, as a part of its hiring practices or promotional practices, employing any educational prerequisites or testing or evaluation methods which are not job-related unless there is no adverse effect.

Summary:

This bill would prohibit a city or county from inquiring into or considering criminal history when screening an applicant for employment, or including any inquiry about criminal history on any initial employment application. This bill is intended to reduce employment discrimination against individuals with past criminal records by prohibiting cities and counties from inquiring into or considering the criminal history of an applicant before determining whether or not the applicant has met the stated initial employment requirements. In doing so, this bill aims to increase employment and reduce criminal recidivism, particularly in areas with disproportionately high numbers of individuals with criminal records.

The motion was made to OPPOSE AB 1831. The motion was seconded and carried by a unanimous vote.

AB 1750 (Solorio) Rainwater Capture Act of 2012.

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich

Recommended action: Support

Background:

Under existing law, the State Water Resources Control Board (state board) and the California regional water quality control boards prescribe waste discharge requirements for the discharge of storm water in accordance with the national pollutant discharge elimination system (NPDES) permit program and the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

Summary

This bill would enact the Rainwater Capture Act of 2012, which would authorize residential, commercial, and governmental landowners to install, maintain, and operate rain barrel systems, as defined, and rainwater capture systems, as defined, for specified purposes, provided that the systems comply with specified requirements. The bill would require a local agency to provide notification to the operator of a public water system, as defined, if the local agency chooses to adopt a permitting program for rainwater capture systems and approves a permit for a rainwater capture system connected to the public water system. The bill would also require a landowner that installs a rainwater capture system where a permit is not required to notify the operator of the public water system prior to installation, with a specified exception.

This bill would additionally authorize a landscape contractor working within the classification of his or her license to enter into a prime contract for the construction of a rainwater capture system, as defined, if the system is used exclusively for landscape irrigation or as a water supply for a fountain, pond, or similar decorative water feature in a landscaping project. The bill would authorize a landscape contractor holding a specified classification to design and install all exterior components of a rainwater capture system that are not a part of, or attached to, a structure.

The motion was made to SUPPORT AB 1750. The motion was seconded and carried by a unanimous vote.

SB 1474 (Hancock) Grand Jury Proceeding: Attorney General: Powers & Duties

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich

Recommended action: Support

Background:

Existing law provides that a grand jury is a body of the required number of persons returned from the citizens of the county before the court of competent jurisdiction, and sworn to inquire of public offenses committed within the county. (Penal Code Section 888.)

Summary

In an effort to combat widespread financial abuses that have occurred in the midst of the most severe mortgage crisis in decades, the Attorney General's Office is engaged in the investigation of significant financial crimes of statewide scope and impact.

SB 1474 provides the Attorney General's Office the option of creating a limited Statewide Grand Jury. With a statewide Grand Jury, the AG's office will be able to investigate multi-jurisdictional financial crimes in an efficient and effective manner not possible under current law, providing protection for Californians at a time they need it most.

The motion was made to SUPPORT AB 1474. The motion was seconded and carried by a unanimous vote.

SB 1472 (DeSaulnier and Pavley) Real property: blight.

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich

Recommended action: Support

Background:

California leads the nation with one of the highest rates of foreclosures. According to RealtyTrac, in California, one in every 303 housing units received a foreclosure filing in March 2012, and 48,422 houses received a foreclosure notice in February alone.

Over the past few years, the California Legislature has passed legislation in an effort to respond to the ongoing foreclosure crisis.

Summary

Neglected, foreclosed properties subject the neighborhood and municipality to drug crimes, prostitution, and vagrants living in the foreclosed properties, vandalism, and a host of other social ills. As foreclosed properties fall deeper into disrepair, the values of the surrounding homes and businesses also deteriorate alarmingly, further adding to the "foreclosure blight" and destruction of whole neighborhoods.

This bill seeks to address blight associated with foreclosures by providing an incentive to potential homebuyers, investors, or developers to purchase blighted properties by preventing code enforcement actions against the new purchaser for 60 days, provided repairs are being made to the property, and by making permanent the Civil Code tools that allow local agencies to combat blight with fines of up to \$1,000 per violation per day.

The motion was made to SUPPORT AB 1472. The motion was seconded and carried by a unanimous vote.

3. SWCLC Bill Tracking

Wunderlich provided a recap of 2012 bills SWCLC has taken a position on and their current status. In 2012 the SWCLC has opposed 33 (19 passed and 14 died); supported 31 (14 passed and 14 died).

4. Legislator and Stakeholder Updates

Congressman Ken Calvert

Presented by: Jason Gagnon

Gagnon reported the Federal Highway Bill programs are set to expire at the end of this month.

Congress is in negotiations to extend these programs, possibly through the end of 2012.

Supreme Court's ruling on the Affordable Healthcare should be rendered by the end of the week.

Wunderlich thanked Rep. Calvert for supporting HR 5280; which passed.

Senator Emmerson

Presented by Evita Gonzales

Gonzales stated SB 1387 (Metal theft) is moving through the Assembly. 1566 related to VLF fees is in suspense and will be added as a trailer bill.

Assemblyman Kevin Jeffries

Presented by Jeff Greene

Greene stated no budget meetings have been held and specific language related to the budget was drafted. A majority budget was passed based upon the supposition that \$8 billion in tax increases will be approved in November. Governor is proposing additional tax cuts related to child care, welfare and tuition tax deduction.

EDC Update

Presented by Morris Myers

Myers stated there is an increase in activity in the area. Unemployment in Murrieta and Temecula continues to decline. Myers recently met with a representative from the Tijuana EDC, reporting Tijuana manufacturers anticipate an increase in demand for supplies and materials from California businesses.

Chamber Reports

Murrieta – Report by Patrick Ellis – Ellis stated Murrieta Chamber recently held their Installation Awards event. He is looking for ways to disseminate information from the SWCLC to Murrieta Chamber members.

Temecula – Report by Alice Sullivan – The June Mixer will be held on Wednesday at Embassy Suites Hotel. Guests will have the opportunity to win an Apple iPad. The Wine Country Classic will be held on Friday at Temecula Creek Inn. The Legislative Summit is scheduled for Thursday, September 27, 2012. Cal Chamber CEO Allan Zaremborg will act as keynote speaker.

Lake Elsinore – The new dock at Lake Elsinore will be dedicated on June 30, 2012.

Next Meeting – Gene Wunderlich requested information from members regarding legislation that could impact their specific industry.

Motion to Adjourn at 12:55

AB 1551 (Torres) Insurance: public safety employees: accidents.

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich
Recommended action: OPPOSE

Background:

Existing law provides that **no insurer shall**, in issuing or renewing a private automobile insurance policy to a peace officer, member of the Department of the California Highway Patrol, or firefighter, with respect to his or her operation of a private motor vehicle, **increase the premium on that policy for the reason that the insured or applicant for insurance has been involved in an accident while operating an authorized emergency vehicle, as defined, in the performance of his or her duty during the hours of his or her employment.**

Existing law provides that a peace officer, member of the Department of the California Highway Patrol, or firefighter **shall not be required to report any accident in which he or she is involved while operating any employer-leased or employer-rented vehicle**, in the performance of his or her duty during the hours of his or her employment, to any person who has issued that peace officer, member of the Department of the California Highway Patrol, or firefighter a private automobile insurance policy.

Summary

This bill would also provide that no insurer shall, in issuing or renewing a private automobile insurance policy to a peace officer, member of the Department of the California Highway Patrol, or firefighter, with respect to his or her operation of a private passenger motor vehicle, increase the premium on that policy for the reason that the insured or applicant for insurance has been involved in an accident **while operating his or her private passenger motor vehicle in the performance of his or her duty at the request or direction of the employer.**

This bill would provide that in the event of a loss or injury that occurs as the result of an accident during any time period when a private passenger motor vehicle is operated by an employee who is a peace officer, member of the Department of the California Highway Patrol, or firefighter and used by him or her at the request or direction of the employer in the performance of the employee's duty, **the vehicle's owner shall have no liability, and the employer shall be considered the owner of the vehicle for the purpose of any liability and defense of the claim.**

The bill would require the peace officer, member of the Department of the California Highway Patrol, or firefighter **to report and provide, within 10 days of the accident, to his or her private automobile insurer all documentation and information known to him or her related to the accident.**

The bill would impose a similar duty on both the employer and employee if it is subsequently discovered that the employer did not direct or request the employee to use the vehicle when the loss occurred.

The bill would prohibit, only under specified circumstances, a good faith delay by an employee in reporting the accident to his or her private passenger motor vehicle liability insurer, under the circumstances described, from being used by the insurer as a basis to claim delayed reporting, noncooperation, prejudice, or the like as a means of avoiding the defense or indemnity obligations that would otherwise exist under the terms of the automobile liability insurance policy or applicable law in the absence of delayed reporting.

The bill would make conforming changes relating to proof of financial responsibility and adverse underwriting decisions, in the event of an accident involving a private passenger motor vehicle operated on behalf of a public agency, as specified.

Arguments in Support

The bill's sponsor, the **California Professional Firefighters**, states:

Currently, the number of daily details for California public safety officers, in particular firefighters, is on the rise – from training exercises to providing relief and transportation of crews and equipment on major incidents. With more “detailing out” of firefighters comes an increased demand on fire departments to provide transportation and in certain cases pressure

on firefighters to use their privately-owned vehicles for such activities when department-provided transportation is not authorized or made available.

In a few instances, where a firefighter has been ordered or pressured to use his or her personal vehicle when on detail and is involved in an accident while conducting fire department business, an employer has refused to indemnify that firefighter from liabilities that may follow.

- Purpose of the bill. To make it clear that in instance where department transportation is needed, but not available, employers do in fact assume responsibility for any liability or expenses incurred when requiring their on-duty personnel to engage in specified work-related activities while using personal vehicles.
- Several representatives of public safety employees write that often times, employers refuse to indemnify on-duty employees who are involved in an accident while using a *private* vehicle. AB 1551 clarifies that when an emergency arises and department transportation is needed but not available, employers assume insurance liability if they request or direct their firefighters or officers to operate a private vehicle to engage in work-related activities.
- Existing law protects peace officers, members of the CHP, and firefighters who are involved in vehicular accidents, while on the job. These public safety personnel are not required to report accidents in which they are involved while on the job to their private automobile insurance carriers, nor are their private automobile insurance carriers allowed to increase their rates, or refuse to renew their policies, as a result of an on-the-job accident. However, existing law limits this protection to situations in which the peace officer, member of the CHP, or firefighter is operating an authorized emergency vehicle or an employer-leased or employer-rented vehicle at the direction of his or her employer. The operation of a private vehicle at the direction of his or her employer is not protected.

Arguments in Opposition

- California Association of Joint Powers Authorities (CAJPA) writes that making local agencies primarily liable for liabilities that the peace officer or firefighters create in the use of their own vehicle, even if used while in the performance of their duties, creates an additional cost on local agencies that they cannot afford in these times of economic stress. Further, forcing the local agency to assume the liability of the peace officer or firefighter until such time as it is determined that the person was not acting in the performance of his or her duties at the time of the claim will cause additional costs of defense if the issue of acting within the course and scope of his or duties cannot be litigated along with the liabilities of the peace officer or firefighter.
- The California State Association of Counties (CSAC) and the League of California Cities (LCC) oppose AB 1551 because they feel it is unnecessary to make this change because local jurisdictions have adopted policies and memoranda of understanding to address liability for accidents involving personal vehicles. CSAC and LCC feel that if represented employees believe there is a problem, the local bargaining table is the appropriate place to address it.
- The California Excess Insurance Authority (CSAC EIA) argues that very frequently when an off duty officer is involved in an accident while on call, it can be a difficult and long process to determine if the officer was factually on duty at the time of an accident. CSAC EIA does not believe that the employers should have to bear these costs until the employment status can be determined.
- The CSAC EIA also expresses concerns that AB 1551 would increase litigation costs as plaintiff's try to reach the deep pockets of a public entity by alleging an employment relationship where that relationship doesn't exist.
- The Regional Council of Rural Counties asks why a measure is needed for public safety employees when current law already addresses issues involving legal liabilities for personal vehicles used during an emergency and why it is appropriate public policy for this group of employees to enjoy the benefits of this shift in liability over any other employee group?

Indemnification of Public Employees. Government Code section 825 provides indemnification to public employees under qualified circumstances, including a requirement that the employee cooperate in his or her defense in good faith. The sponsor has confirmed with the Committee that it is the intent of the bill to grant only those protections already in place to employees

driving public vehicles and does not intend to modify any of the employees preexisting duties, such as the duty to cooperate with the defense.

Under AB 1551, indemnity could extend to include injuries caused by accidents related to poor vehicle maintenance and other causes that are not related to the employment. It was for this reason, in part, that Governor Schwarzenegger vetoed AB 2151 (Torres, 2009-10 Legislative Session).

Status

Passed from Assembly to Senate on a 69-3-8 vote (Jeffries-Aye, Nestande-Aye). Passed from Senate Insurance to Rules (Anderson-AYE)

Registered Support

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME). AFL-CIO
Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs
Association for Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs
California Professional Firefighters (CPF)/Sponsor
California Association of Highway Patrolmen (CAHP)
California State Sheriffs' Association (CSSA)
California Fraternal Order of Police
CDF Firefighters Local 2881
Los Angeles County Professional Peace Officers Association

Long Beach Police Officers Association
Los Angeles Police Protective League
Los Angeles County Probation Officers' Union, AFSCME, Local 685
Orange County Professional Firefighters' Association
Peace Officers Research Association of California (PORAC)
Riverside Sheriffs' Association
Sacramento County Deputy Sheriffs Association
San Diego Schools Police Officers Association
San Diego Unified School District (SDUSD)
Santa Ana Police Officers Association

Registered Opposition

California State Association of Counties (CSAC)
Legislative Committee of the Redwood Empire Division
California Association of Joint Powers Authorities (CAJPA)
California Joint Powers Insurance Authority (California JPIA)
California Excess Insurance Authority (CSAC EIA)

League of California Cities, Los Angeles Division
Mayor Ashley Swearengin (City of Fresno)
Northern California Cities Self Insurance Fund (NCCSIF)
Regional Council of Rural Counties (RCRC)
Small Cities Organized Risk Effort (SCORE)

Cities

Antioch
Beverly Hills
Canyon Lake
Cathedral City
Claremont
Cloverdale
Corning
Costa Mesa
Crescent City
Cypress

Duarte
Encinitas
Fountain Valley
Folsom
Glendora
Gridley
Huntington Beach
La Habra AB
Lynwood
Moorpark

Pismo Beach
Redwood City
San Luis Obispo
San Mateo
Santa Rosa
South San Francisco
Sunnyvale
Thousand Oaks

Legislative Report Item 2	Action Item
----------------------------------	--------------------

SB 969 (Vargas) Pet groomers

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich
Recommended action: Oppose

Background:

According to the author's office:
"Existing law does not provide for adequate safety of pets in California. Currently, there are no laws or guidelines related to the profession of pet grooming, and pet groomers are currently not required to possess anything more than a business license. Thus, no formal training is required to open or run a pet grooming facility. This bill would create the Council, a 501(c)(3) non-profit, for the purpose of certifying pet groomers and pet bathers and brushers who meet specified education, examination, training, and experience requirements."

Summary:

This bill would enact, until January 1, 2017, provisions governing the **voluntary certification** of pet groomers and creating the **California Pet Grooming Council**, a nonprofit organization exempt from taxation, as specified, to administer the certification process.

The bill would make it an unfair business practice for any person engaged in pet grooming to advertise or hold himself or herself out as a registered, certified, or licensed pet groomer without being certified and regulated by the council.

The bill would establish the requirements necessary to obtain a certificate as a pet groomer or a pet bather and brusher and set forth the duties and obligations of a certified pet groomer or a pet bather and brusher, as specified.

The bill would set forth the duties of the council with regard to the regulation of pet groomers and pet bathers and brushers and require the board to adopt a fee schedule that would apply to certificate holders.

The bill would set forth standards for denial, suspension, or revocation of a certificate for a violation of these provisions.

Arguments in Support

According to the Executive Director (ED) of the Animal Samaritans SPCA, Inc.:

"As the ED of an Animal Welfare and Veterinary Medical Center, I have seen dogs with various injuries suffer at the hands of untrained groomers. In one case, **a dog came in with nipples cut off in a grooming incident**, leaving it with serious injuries. In other cases, dogs and cats had grooming injuries that included burns resulting from dryers and lacerations from (c)lipping and shaving. Others had broken legs suffered by jumping from tables because groomers did not know how to handle animals."

- Creates the "Pet Grooming Act" and establishes the "Council" as a tax-exempt nonprofit 501 (c)(3) organization for the purpose of certifying pet groomers who meet specified education, examination, training and experience requirements. Specifies the Council may commence activities after submitting a request to the Internal Revenue Service and an application or determination letter or ruling to the Franchise Tax Board seeking this exemption.
- Provides that the Council shall be composed of two members from the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (SPCA), one from northern California and one from Southern California.; one member selected by each state or nationwide pet specialty retailer that provides pet grooming services, as specified; one member from the State Humane Association of California; one member selected by the Director of the DCA; one member selected from the Veterinary Medical Board; one member from the California Animal Control Directors Association; two members selected from the National Dog Groomers Association of America, Inc.; and one member selected from the State Bar of California who has animal law experience; two members selected by the World Pet Association; one member selected by the International Professional Groomers, Inc.; one member selected by the International Society of Canine Cosmetologists; one member selected by the Northern California Professional Groomers Association; one member selected by the Southern California Professional Groomers Association; and one member selected by the California Veterinary Medical Association. Provides that any of the aforementioned entities may choose not to exercise their right of selection of a member to serve on the Council.
- Provides that **the Council may** take any reasonable actions to carry out the responsibilities of the Pet Grooming Act, including, but not limited to, **hiring staff and entering into contracts**, and that the initial members of the Council, in their discretion, may immediately undertake to issue the certificates authorized by the Act, after adopting the necessary bylaws or other rules as specified
- Provides that the **Council shall establish fees reasonably related to the cost of providing services and carrying out its ongoing responsibilities and duties**, and may establish both initial fees and renewal fees (for every two year).

Licensure vs. Certification. This bill creates **a voluntary certification program for pet groomers, but as introduced would have required licensure for pet groomers**; therefore, it is important to note the distinction between the two. Licensure is mandatory for anybody practicing in the field and requires individuals to pass an examination and complete specified educational and possible experience/training requirements. It is the highest and most restrictive form of professional regulation, and is intended to avert severe harm to public health, safety or welfare that could be caused by unlicensed practitioners. Certification also requires individuals to possibly pass an examination and to complete education courses and specified training; however, certification is optional. In some instances, a government agency may provide for certification requirement or in other instances a nonprofit organization or some other professional group or association may provide for a certification

program. A person voluntarily seeks certification from any of these entities and then may use the term “certified” or having received “certification” within that profession.

Arguments in Opposition

- Creates another state level bureaucracy to administer a 'voluntary' program.
- Despite sunset provision, opens the door to an ongoing program that may expand on its original intent to mandate state licensure.
- If you honestly believe this is a significant enough problem statewide to necessitate a legislative response, why would you sunset the solution to that problem in 4 years?
- Creates additional costs and delays for small business owners.
- According to the Senate Appropriations Committee analysis will likely incur unknown costs of less than \$75,000.year for enforcement activity based on the performance of similar organizations.

According to the World Pet Association and several pet groomer associations:

"In a well-meaning attempt to promote a more uniform standard of safety, ethics, and competency within the grooming industry, SB 969 creates a Council which is:

- Unprecedented, voluntary, and not accountable to any other agency, to regulate groomer training and certification;
- Duplicates or nullifies the efforts of established certification processes by the International Professional Groomers Association, National Dog Groomers Association of America;
- Creates great cost for businesses and professional associations in order to change training and trademark materials without any substantial change in these programs;
- Whose diverse Council membership, unlike many professional associations, is not mainly comprised of experienced, recognized practitioners of the craft, and whose diverse concerns will possibly hamper timely and focused peer review;
- Has not shown data that will ensure capture of pet abusers.

"While we support the continued development of a strong professional association, for the above reasons, SB 969 is not the answer. It does not strengthen the grooming industry's standards by oversight and peer review, but duplicates existing processes, and creates a greater financial burden on the state for regulation and enforcement."

REGISTERED SUPPORT:

Animal Samaritans SPCA, Inc.
City of Coachella
City of Desert Hot Springs
City of Palm Desert
City of Rancho Mirage

Indian Wells
La Prensa Hispana
Paw PAC
spcaLA

REGISTERED OPPOSITION:

Barkleigh Productions, Inc.
California Federation of Dog Clubs
California Retailers Association
International Professional Groomers Association
International Society of Canine Cosmetology
Mobile Groomers Association
National Animal Interest Alliance
National Cat Groomers Institute of America, Inc.

National Dog Groomers Association of America
National Federation of Independent Business
Northern California Professional Groomers Association
Pet Industry Joint Advisory Council
Professional Cat Groomers Association of America
San Diego County Groomers Association
Small Business California
Southern California Professional Groomers Association
World Pet Association

Status

Passed from Senate to Assembly on a 22 - 14 - 4 vote (Anderson-Nay, Emmerson-Nay) , passed from Assembly Business & Professions Cmte to Appropriations.

[SB 162 \(Anderson\)](#) California Gambling Control Commission: Gaming Policy
[Economic development: federally recognized Indian tribes](#)

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich

Recommended action: Watch

Background:

This bill makes changes to the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee, and prohibits the California Gambling Control Commission from commencing action against a gambling establishment for violations that occur by a third-party provider of proposition player services.

According to the author's office, this bill seeks to revitalize the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee by giving the chair of the Gambling Control Commission the ability to make more appointments.

As Modified:

(4) Consulting on a government-to-government basis, in a respectful and meaningful manner, with respect to a fee-to-trust land acquisition application that is for the purpose of housing, environmental protection, or cultural preservation.

(c) A state agency shall not oppose a fee-to-trust land acquisition application that is for the purpose of housing, environmental protection, or cultural preservation.

Status

6/21 Hearing cancelled at Authors request.

[SB 1015 / AB 1475 \(Committee on Budget & Fiscal Review\) Taxation: Administration](#)

Presentation: Gene Wunderlich

Recommended action: Too late

Background:

This was a budget trailer bill introduced on 6/15, passed Assembly 6/26, Senate 6/27, went to Governor, signed & Chaptered by Secretary of State 6/27.

Among other things the bill sought to impose a 20% penalty for OVERPAYMENT of state taxes by individuals and businesses. That has been amended to include the following language: *This bill closes a loophole in the general accuracy-related penalty framework by imposing a penalty is equal to 20% of the excessive amount. The new policy is intended to further restrict the potential use of refund requests when the reason for refund is not substantiated. The estimated revenue impact is \$1 million in 2011-12 and \$3 million in 2012-13.*

More concerning is the bills treatment of multi-state corporations & businesses by repealing elements of the Multi-state Tax Compact in place since 1993. According to the bill's authors in the Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee, this bill facilitates the collection of tax liabilities that remain unpaid by changing the existing earnings withholding procedures; imposing a penalty on the filing of refund claims with no reasonable basis; confirming the income apportionment method for multistate corporations; and expanding the existing FIRM (Financial Institution Records Management) program from FTB (Franchise Tax Board) to include taxes programs administered by the EDD (Employment Development Department) and the BOE (Board of Equalization).

According to the Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee, this bill will result in additional GF revenues of \$16 million in 2011-12 and \$41 million in 2012-13.

November 2012 Statewide Ballot Measures

(Click on links for full text)

Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012

**SBx7 2 was amended by AB 1265 (Chapter 126, 2010) Caballero. Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012: surface storage projects: submission to voters. AB 1265 was amended by AB 153 (Chapter 226, 2010) Hernandez. Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012: groundwater contamination.*

SWCLC: Will be pulled from ballot again.

Proposition 30 1578. (12-0009)

[Temporary Taxes to Fund Education. Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding.](#)

Initiative Constitutional Amendment.

Summary Date: 03/16/12 | **Qualified: 06/20/12** | Signatures Required: 807,615

Proponent: Thomas A. Willis c/o Karen Getman (510) 346-6200

Increases personal income tax on annual earnings over \$250,000 for seven years. Increases sales and use tax by ¼ cent for four years. Allocates temporary tax revenues 89 percent to K-12 schools and 11 percent to community colleges. Bars use of funds for administrative costs, but provides local school governing boards discretion to decide, in open meetings and subject to annual audit, how funds are to be spent. Guarantees funding for public safety services realigned from state to local governments. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Increased state revenues over the next seven fiscal years. Estimates of the revenue increases vary—from \$6.8 billion to \$9 billion for 2012-13 and from \$5.4 billion to \$7.6 billion, on average, in the following five fiscal years, with lesser amounts in 2018-19. These revenues would be available to (1) pay for the state's school and community college funding requirements, as increased by this measure, and (2) address the state's budgetary problem by paying for other spending commitments. Limitation on the state's ability to make changes to the programs and revenues shifted to local governments in 2011, resulting in a more stable fiscal situation for local governments.**

✓ **SWCLC: Evaluate for position.**

Proposition 31 1537. (11-0068)

[State Budget. State and Local Government.](#)

Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.

Summary Date: 12/29/11 | **Qualified: 06/26/12** | Signatures Required: 807,615

Proponent: Sunne Wright McPeak c/o Robin B. Johansen and James C. Harrison (510) 346-6200

Establishes two-year state budget cycle. Prohibits Legislature from creating expenditures of more than \$25 million unless offsetting revenues or spending cuts are identified. Permits Governor to cut budget unilaterally during declared fiscal emergencies if Legislature fails to act. Requires performance reviews of all state programs. Requires performance goals in state and local budgets. Requires publication of all bills at least three days prior to legislative vote. Gives counties power to alter state statutes or regulations related to spending unless Legislature or state agency vetoes changes within 60 days. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Decreased state revenues and commensurate increased local revenues, probably in the range of about \$200 million annually, beginning in 2013-14. Potential decreased state program costs or increased state revenues resulting from changes in the fiscal authority of the Legislature and Governor. Increased state and local costs of tens of millions of dollars annually to implement new budgeting practices. Over time, these costs would moderate and potentially be offset by savings from improved program efficiencies.**

- ✓ **SWCLC: Evaluate for position.**

Proposition 32 **1487. (11-0010)**

[Prohibits Political Contributions by Payroll Deduction. Prohibitions on Contributions to Candidates.](#)

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 05/25/11 | **Qualified: 12/06/11** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponent: Ashlee N. Titus c/o Thomas W. Hiltachk (916) 442-7757

Restricts union political fundraising by prohibiting use of payroll-deducted funds for political purposes. Same use restriction would apply to payroll deductions, if any, by corporations or government contractors. Permits voluntary employee contributions to employer or union committees if authorized yearly, in writing. Prohibits unions and corporations from contributing directly or indirectly to candidates and candidate-controlled committees. Other political expenditures remain unrestricted, including corporate expenditures from available resources not limited by payroll deduction prohibition. Limits government contractor contributions to elected officers or officer-controlled committees. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Increased state implementation and enforcement costs of up to hundreds of thousands of dollars annually, potentially offset in part by revenues from fines.** (11-0010.)

- ✓ **SWCLC: Adopt SUPPORT position.**

Proposition 33 **1495. (11-0013, Amdt. #15)**

[Changes Law to Allow Auto Insurance Companies to Set Prices Based on a Driver's History of Insurance Coverage.](#)

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 08/11/11 | **Qualified: 01/18/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponent: Mike D'Arelli (916) 283-9473

Changes current law to permit insurance companies to set prices based on whether the driver previously carried auto insurance with any insurance company. Allows insurance companies to give proportional discounts to drivers with some prior insurance coverage. Will allow insurance companies to increase cost of insurance to drivers who have not maintained continuous coverage. Treats drivers with lapse as continuously covered if lapse is due to military service or loss of employment, or if lapse is less than 90 days. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Probably no significant fiscal effect on state insurance premium tax revenues.** (11-0013.)

- ✓ **SWCLC: Evaluate for position.**

Proposition 34 **1512. (11-0035)**

[Death Penalty Repeal.](#)

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 10/20/11 | **Qualified: 04/23/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponent: Jeanne Woodford c/o James C. Harrison (510) 346-6200

Repeals death penalty as maximum punishment for persons found guilty of murder and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to persons already sentenced to death. Requires persons found guilty of murder to work while in prison, with their wages to be applied to any victim restitution fines or orders against them. Creates \$100 million fund to be distributed to law enforcement agencies to help solve more homicide and rape cases. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Net savings to the state and counties that could amount to the high tens of millions of dollars annually on a statewide basis due to the elimination of the death penalty. One-time state costs totaling \$100 million from 2012-13 through 2015-16 to provide funding to local law enforcement agencies. (11-0035)

✓ *SWCLC: Not business related.*

Proposition 35 **1532. (11-0059)**

[Human Trafficking. Penalties. Sex Offender Registration.](#)

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 12/23/11 | **Qualified: 05/10/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponent: Daphne Phung c/o James C. Harrison and Kari Krogseng. (510) 346-6200

Increases criminal penalties for human trafficking, including prison sentences up to 15-years-to-life and fines up to \$1,500,000. Fines collected to be used for victim services and law enforcement. Requires person convicted of trafficking to register as sex offender. Requires sex offenders to provide information regarding Internet access and identities they use in online activities. Prohibits evidence that victim engaged in sexual conduct from being used against victim in court proceedings. Requires human trafficking training for police officers. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Potential one-time local government costs of up to a few million dollars on a statewide basis, and lesser additional costs incurred each year, due to the new mandatory training requirements for certain law enforcement officers. Minor increase to state and local governments on the costs of incarcerating and supervising human trafficking offenders. Unknown amount of additional revenue from new criminal fees, likely not to exceed the low millions of dollars annually, which would fund services for human trafficking victims.** (11-0059)

✓ *SWCLC: Not business related.*

Proposition 36 **1530. (11-0057)**

[Three Strikes Law. Sentencing for Repeat Felony Offenders.](#)

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 12/15/11 | **Qualified: 06/11/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponent: David Mills c/o Dan Newman (415) 981-9940

Revises three strikes law to impose life sentence only when new felony conviction is serious or violent. Authorizes re-sentencing for offenders currently serving life sentences if third strike conviction was not serious or violent and judge determines sentence does not pose unreasonable risk to public safety. Continues to impose life sentence penalty if third strike conviction was for certain non-serious, non-violent sex or drug offenses or involved firearm possession. Maintains life sentence penalty for felons with non-serious, non-violent third strike if prior convictions were for rape, murder, or child molestation. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **State savings related to prison and parole operations that potentially range in the high tens of millions of dollars annually in the short run, possibly exceeding \$100 million annually in the long run. Increased state and county costs in the millions to low tens of millions of dollars annually in the first few years, likely declining substantially in future years, for state court activities and county jail, community supervision, and court-related activities.** (11-0057)

✓ *SWCLC: Evaluate for position.*

Proposition 37 **1570. (11-0099)**

[Genetically Engineered Foods. Mandatory Labeling.](#)

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 02/14/12 | **Qualified: 06/11/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponent: James Wheaton (510) 444-4710 x309

Requires labeling on raw or processed food offered for sale to consumers if made from plants or animals with genetic material changed in specified ways. Prohibits labeling or advertising such food as “natural.” Exempts foods that are: certified organic; unintentionally produced with genetically engineered material; made from animals fed or injected with genetically engineered material but not genetically engineered themselves; processed with or containing only small amounts of genetically engineered ingredients; administered for treatment of medical conditions; sold for immediate consumption such as in a restaurant; or alcoholic beverages. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Potential increase in state administrative costs of up to one million dollars annually to monitor compliance with the disclosure requirements specified in the measure. Unknown, but potentially significant, costs for the courts, the Attorney General, and district attorneys due to litigation resulting from possible violations to the provisions of this measure.** (11-0099)

✓ *SWCLC: Evaluate for position.*

Proposition 38 **1574. (11-0100)**

Tax for Education and Early Childhood Programs.

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 02/17/12 | **Qualified: 06/20/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponents: Molly Munger, Roberta B. Johansen, James C. Harrison c/o Remcho, Johansen & Purcell, LLP (510) 346-6200

Increases personal income tax rates for annual earnings over \$7,316 using sliding scale from .4% for lowest individual earners to 2.2% for individuals earning over \$2.5 million, ending after twelve years. During first four years, 60% of revenues go to K-12 schools, 30% to repaying state debt, and 10% to early childhood programs. Thereafter, allocates 85% of revenues to K-12 schools, 15% to early childhood programs. Provides K-12 funds on school specific, per-pupil basis, subject to local control, audits, and public input. Prohibits state from directing or using new funds. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Increased state personal income tax revenues beginning in 2013 and ending in 2024. Estimates of the revenue increases vary from \$10 billion to \$11 billion per fiscal year beginning in 2013-14, tending to increase over time. The 2012-13 revenue increase would be about half this amount. Until the end of 2016-17, 60 percent of revenues would be dedicated to K-12 education and 10 percent would be provided to early care and education programs. These allocations would supplement existing funding for these programs. In 2017-18 and subsequent years, 85 percent would be provided to K-12 education and 15 percent to early care and education. General Fund savings on debt-service costs of about \$1.5 billion in 2012-13 and \$3 billion in 2013-14, with savings tending to grow thereafter until the end of 2016-17. In 2015-16 and subsequent years with stronger growth in state personal income tax revenues, some of the revenues raised by this measure—several hundred million dollars per year— would be used for debt-service costs, resulting in state savings.**

✓ *SWCLC: Evaluate for position.*

Proposition 39 **1550. (11-0080)**

Tax Treatment for Multistate Businesses. Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency Funding.

Initiative Statute.

*Summary Date: 01/12/12 | **Qualified: 06/20/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760*

Proponent: Joseph Caves

Requires multistate businesses to calculate their California income tax liability based on the percentage of their sales in California. Repeals existing law giving multistate businesses an option to choose a tax liability formula that provides favorable tax treatment for businesses with property and payroll outside California. Dedicates \$550 million annually for five years from anticipated increase in revenue for the purpose of funding projects that create energy efficiency and clean energy jobs in California. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and

local government: **Approximately \$500 million in additional state General Fund revenues in 2012-13 and \$1 billion each year thereafter from requiring a single sales factor formula for corporate taxes, with about half of the additional annual revenues from 2013-14 through 2017-18 supporting energy efficiency and alternative energy projects. Increased Proposition 98 minimum funding guarantee for K-14 schools of roughly \$225 million annually from 2012-13 through 2017-18 and by roughly \$500 million each year thereafter, as a result of additional state General Fund revenues.**

✓ *SWCLC: Adopt OPPOSE position.*

Proposition 40 **1499. (11-0028)**

[Redistricting. State Senate Districts.](#)

Referendum.

Summary Date: 08/26/11 | **Qualified: 02/24/12** | Signatures Required: 504,760

Proponent: Julie Vandermost c/o Charles H. Bell, Jr. (916) 442-7757

State Senate districts are revised every ten years following the federal census. This year, the voter-approved California Citizens Redistricting Commission revised the boundaries of the 40 Senate districts. This referendum petition, if signed by the required number of registered voters and filed with the Secretary of State, will: (1) Place the revised State Senate boundaries on the ballot and prevent them from taking effect unless approved by the voters at the next statewide election; and (2) Require court-appointed officials to set interim boundaries for use in the next statewide election. (11-0028) **(Full Text)**

✓ *SWCLC: Evaluate for position.*

Month	Bill #	Author	Intent	Position	Result	Emmerson	Anderson	Jeffries	Nierstede	Brown
1	AB 1207	Furutani	Expand statute of limitations for lawsuits	Oppose	Fail in crtte					
1	AB 157	Jeffries	25% reduction to water bond	Support	Fail in crtte					
1	ACA 1	Jeffries	72 hour prior notice	Support	Fail in crtte					
1	SB 659	Padilla	Temporarily extends dissolution of RDA. Amended to	Support	To Assembly	A	A			
2-6	AB 890	Olsen	CEQA exemption, roadways	Support	To Senate			A	A	
2	HR 3199	Sensenbrenner	15 ethanol	Support						
2-6	SB 654	Steinberg	Redevelopment - low & moderate income housing	Support	To Assembly	A	N			
2	SB 708	Corbett	Mortgage loan foreclosure procedures	Support	To Assembly	A	N			
2	SB 973	Vargas	CEQA exemption, limited duration events (Amended)	Support	To Assembly	A	A			
2			LA/Ontario Airport, local control	Support						
3-6	AB 1450	Allen	Discrimination against unemployed	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
3	AB 1506	Jeffries	Fire prevention fee	Support	Held under submission					
3	AB 1543	Alejo	Public Contracts: Buy American	Oppose	Cancelled by author					
3-6	AB 1648	Brownley	Political Reform Act of 1974, advertisements, disclosure	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
3	AB 1655	Dickinson	Public employees bill of rights	Oppose	Suspense file					
3	AB 1686	Jeffries	Lake Matthews	Watch	Cancelled by author					
3	AB 1740	Perez	Add a new, protected classification under the Fair Em	Oppose	Dead					
3	AB 1911	Donnelly	SALES AND USE TAX EXEMPTION - MANUFACTURING	Support	Dead					
3-6	AB 2039	Swanson	Family medical leave	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
3	SB 1374	Hartman	Good faith reliance on regulations	Support	Fail					
3	SB 1566	Emmerson	VLF fees to Wildomar	Support	Held under submission					
3			Closing parks is bad for business	Support						
4	AB 1605	Garrick	MINIMUM ANNUAL TAX: EXEMPTIONS	Support	Died					
4-6	AB 1612	Lara	ADMINISTRATIVE PRACTICES	Support	To Senate			A	A	
4	AB 1808	Williams	MEYERS-MILLIUS-BROWN ACT: PUBLIC	Oppose	Cancelled by author					
4-6	AB 1844	Campos	EMPLOYER USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA	Support	To Senate			NVR	A	
4	AB 1972	Hubber	Sales & use tax exemption, manufacturing R & D	Support	Suspense file					
4-6	AB 1999	Brownley	EMPLOYMENT: FAMILIAL STATUS PROTECTION	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
4	AB 2026	Fuentes	INCOME TAXES: CREDIT: FILM:	Support	In committee					
4	AB 2117	Gorell	Waste discharge requirements: storm water	Support	Held under submission					
4-6	AB 2245	Smyth	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: CEQA: Exemptions: Bays	Support	To Senate			A	A	
4-6	AB 2273	Wielckowski	Common interest developments: Notice of transfer	Support	To Senate			A	N	
4	AB 2305	Huffman	Franchises	Oppose	Fail in crtte					
4	AB 2424	Portantino	FOREST RESOURCES: TIMBERLANDS	Oppose	Cancelled by author					

4	AB 2517	Eng	EMPLOYMENT: PAYMENT OF WAGES; UEMS	Oppose	Fail on floor				N	N		
4	AB 2577	Galgiani	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY; PUBLIC COMMENTS	Support	Cancelled by author							
4	SB 1114	Dutton	FLEXIBLE WORK SCHEDULES	Support	Failed in committee							
4	SB 1115	Dutton	EMPLOYMENT: OVERTIME COMPENSATION	Support	Failed in committee							
4-6-7	SB 1139	Rubio	GREENHOUSE GAS: CARBON CAPTURE & STORAGE	Support	To Assembly	A			A			
4-6	SB 1161	Padilla	INTERNET-BASED SERVICES: VOIP Protocol	Support	To Assembly	A			A			
4-6	SB 1185	Price	CENTRALIZED INTELLIGENCE PARTNERSHIP ACT	Support	To Assembly	A			A			
4-6	SB 1431	DeLeon	STOP LOSS INSURANCE COVERAGE	Oppose	To Assembly	N			N			
4	SB 1470	Leno	(LENO, PAVLEY, AND STEINBERG) – MORTGAGES AND	Oppose	Cancelled by author							
4	SB 1471	Desaulnier	(DESAULNIER, PAVLEY) – MORTGAGES AND DEEDS OF	Oppose	Cancelled by author							
4	SB 1505	DeSaulnier	California Keep Our Promises Act: corporation taxes;	Oppose	In committee							
4	SB 250	Rubio	Sacramento, San Joaquin Delta; Delta Plan; Conveyan	Support	To Assembly	A			N			
6	SB 829	Steinberg										
4	SB 829	Rubio	Public contracts; project labor agreements; Local Cont	Oppose	Chaptered	N			N		AA	A
4			FHFA Bulk Sale REO Pilot Program	Oppose	Pending							
5	AB 1500	Perez	Mandatory single sales factor, middle class scholarsh	Oppose	In committee							
5-6-7	AB 1532	Perez	Global Warming Solutions Act: Local Emission reduc	Oppose	To Senate				N		N	
5	AB 1692	Wieckowski	Bankruptcy (Lease of Chisel)	Oppose	To Senate				N		N	
5	AB 2346	Butler	Heart illness; unreasonable requirements; excessive ar	Oppose	To Senate				N		N	
5	AB 2404	Fuentes	Global Warming Solutions Act: Local Emission reduc	Oppose	Held under submission							
5	ACA 1	Jeffries	24 hour prior notice	Support	Dead							
5-6	SB 1384	Similtian	Consumer information, privacy	Oppose	To Assembly	A			A			INACT
5-6	SB 1387	Emmerson	Metal Theft	Support	To Assembly	A			A			
5-6	SB 1431	DeLeon	STOP LOSS INSURANCE COVERAGE	Oppose	To Assembly	N			N			
5-6	SB 1528	Steinberg	Damages; medical services	Oppose	To Assembly	N			N			
5-6-6	SB 1572	Pavley	Global Warming Solutions Act: Local Emission reduc	Oppose	To Assembly	N			N			N
6-6	AB 1145	Cedillo	SUPPLEMENTAL JOB DISPLACEMENT VOUCHER	Oppose	To Senate							
6-6	AB 1687	Fong	Workers' Compensation; Utilization Review	Oppose	To Senate				N			N
6	AB 154	Beal	Health care coverage: mental health services	Oppose	To Senate				N			N
6	AB 171	Beal	Pervasive developmental disorder or autism	Oppose	To Senate				A		NVR	
6	AB 369	Huffman	Health care coverage: prescription drugs	Oppose	To Senate				N			N
6	AB 1000	Peria	Health care coverage: cancer treatment	Oppose	To Senate				A		NVR	
6-6	SB 1255	Wright	EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION; ITENIZED	Oppose unclear	To Assembly	N						
6-6	AB 2346	Butler	Agricultural employee safety; heat-related illness	Oppose	To Senate				N			N
6	SB 959	Lieu	WORKERS' COMPENSATION; PROVIDER REIMBU	Support	To Assembly	A			NVR			

6	AB 1745	Torres	Mortgage Procedures: Dual Tracking	Support	To Senate			A	A	
6	AB 1831	Dickinson	Local Government : Hiring Practices	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
6	AB 1750	Solorio	Rainwater Capture Act of 2012	Support	To Senate			A	A	
6	SB 1474	Hancock	Grand Jury Proceedings: Attorney General's Power	Support	To Assembly	A	A			
6	SB 1472	Desaulnier	Real Property: Blight	Support	To Assembly	A	NVR			
6	AB 904	Skinner	Local planning: parking spaces: minimum require	Oppose	To Senate			NA	NA	
6	AB 404	Gatto	Prohibiting Contingency Fee Payments to Taxpay	Oppose	To Senate			NA	NA	
6-6	AB 1145	Cedillo	SUPPLEMENTAL JOB DISPLACEMENT VOUCHER	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
6-6	AB 1687	Fong	Workers' Compensation : Utilization Review	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
6	AB 2439	Eng	Disclosure of Taxpayer Information.	Oppose	To Senate			N	N	
6	SB 1222	Leno	Solar Energy: Permits	Oppose	To Assembly	N	N			
6-6	SB 1572	Pavley	CALIFORNIA GLOBAL WARMING SOLUTIONS ACT	Oppose	Assembly Comit	N	N			
6-7	SB 491	Evans	CONTRACTS: CLAIMS	Oppose	Assembly Committee			N	N	
6-7	AB 2408	Skinner	Taxation: deductions: net operating loss carryba	Oppose	Senate Committee			N	N	
6-7	AB 1532	J. Perez	CALIFORNIA GLOBAL WARMING SOLUTIONS ACT	Oppose	Senate Committee			N	N	
6	SB 900	Leno	California Homeowners Bill of Rights	Oppose	Senate Floor					
6	AB 278	Eng	California Homeowners Bill of Rights	Oppose	Assembly Floor					
6-7	AB 1186	Skinner	CALIFORNIA GLOBAL WARMING SOLUTIONS ACT	Oppose	Senate Committee				Amended	
7	SB 1139	Rubio	JOB CREATOR: Creates Regulatory Certainty	Support	To Assembly	A	A			
7	AB 1532	J. Perez	JOB KILLER: Illegal Tax Increase GWSA	Oppose	Senate Committee			N	N	
7	SB 1572	Pavley	JOB KILLER: Illegal Tax Increase GWSA	Oppose	Assembly Comit	N	N			
7	AB 1186	Skinner	Increases Energy Costs GWSA	Oppose	Senate Committee				Amended	
7	AB 2408	Skinner	JOB KILLER: Creates Inequity in the Tax Structure	Oppose	Senate Committee			N	N	
7	SB 491	Evans	JOB KILLER: Anti-Arbitration Legislation	Oppose	Assembly Committee			N	N	
7	SB 1528	Steinberg	JOB KILLER: Inflates Litigation and Insurance Cos	Oppose	To Assembly	N	N			
7	SB 1431	DeLeon	Limits Choice for Small Business	Oppose	To Assembly	N	N			
48 letters, 7 emails 6/1-7/5										
A	AYE Vote									
N	NAVY Vote									
NVR	No Vote Recorded									
AA	Absent, Abstain									
Bills SUPPORTED by SWCLC										
Bills OPOSED by SWCLC										